Networks of equities in financial markets

G. Bonanno^{1,2,a}, G. Caldarelli^{1,b}, F. Lillo^{2,3,c}, S. Miccichè^{2,3,d}, N. Vandewalle^{4,e}, and R.N. Mantegna^{2,3,f}

 $^1\,$ Istituto Nazionale per la Fisica della Materia, Unità di Roma, Roma "La Sapienza", Roma, Italy $^2\,$ Dipartimento di Fisica e Tecnologie Relative, Università di Palermo, Viale delle Scienze, 90128, Palermo, Italy $^3\$

Received 26 November 2003 Published online 14 May 2004 – © EDP Sciences, Società Italiana di Fisica, Springer-Verlag 2004

Abstract. We review the recent approach of correlation based networks of financial equities. We investigate portfolio of stocks at different time horizons, financial indices and volatility time series and we show that meaningful economic information can be extracted from noise dressed correlation matrices. We show that the method can be used to falsify widespread market models by directly comparing the topological properties of networks of real and artificial markets.

PACS. 89.75.Fb Structures and organization in complex systems – 89.75.Hc Networks and genealogical trees – 89.65.Gh Economics; econophysics, financial markets, business and management

1 Introduction

The study of topological properties of networks has recently received a lot of attention. In particular, it has been shown that many natural and social systems display unexpected statistical properties of links connecting different elements of the system [1,2] and cannot therefore be described in terms of random graphs [3]. The topological properties of several graphs describing physical and social systems have been recently investigated. Examples are the World Wide Web [4], Internet [5,6], and social networks [7]. In the networks investigated in these papers (and in many others) the links represent relation between nodes which are either present or absent in a given instant of time. By contrast we have recently started the investigation of correlation based networks, i.e. networks used to visualize the structure of pair cross correlations among a set of time series. From a set of n time series one can extract the correlation coefficient between any pair of variables. If we identify the different time series with the nodes of the network, each pair of nodes can be thought to be connected by an arc with a weight related to the correlation coefficient between the two time series. The network is therefore completely connected. By introducing a suitable filtration of the network one can remove the less relevant information by removing the weakest links.

In fact, it is known that the finiteness of time series can introduce spurious correlation. In principle there are many different ways of filtering the correlation matrix in order to obtain noise filtered information. In this context we have focused mainly on financial markets [8,9] and on a particular type of network that can be obtained form the correlation matrix, specifically the minimum spanning tree. Spanning trees are particular types of graphs that connect all the vertices in a graph without forming any loop.

The presence of a high degree of cross-correlation between the synchronous time evolution of a set of equity returns is a well known empirical fact observed in financial markets [10–12]. For a time horizon of one trading day correlation coefficient as high as 0.7 can be observed for some pair of equity returns belonging to the same economic sector.

The study of cross-correlation of a set of financial equities has also practical importance since it can improve the ability to model composed financial entities such as, for example, stock portfolios. There are different approaches to address this problem. The most common one is the principal component analysis of the correlation matrix of the data [13]. Recently an investigation of the properties of the correlation matrix has been performed by physicists by using the perspective and theoretical results of the random matrix theory [14,15]. As mentioned above, another approach is the correlation based clustering analysis which allows to obtain clusters of stocks starting from the time series of price returns. Different algorithms exist to perform cluster analysis in finance [8,16–20].

In previous work, some of us have shown that a specific correlation based clustering method gives a meaningful

a e-mail: bonanno@gip.dft.unipa.it

b e-mail: gcalda@pil.phys.uniroma1.it

c e-mail: lillo@lagash.dft.unipa.it

d e-mail: micciche@lagash.dft.unipa.it

e e-mail: nvandewalle@ulg.ac.be

f e-mail: mantegna@unipa.it

taxonomy for stock return time series [8,21,22], for market index returns of worldwide stock exchanges [23] and for volatility increments of stock return time series [24]. Here we review the results obtained in these previous studies and discuss them from a unified perspective. Specifically, Section 2 discusses the correlation based clustering method, Section 3 focuses on the properties of networks detected in a portfolio of stocks when stock returns are sampled at different time horizons. Section 4 discusses the properties of networks observed by investigating stock indices of stock exchanges located all over the world and Section 5 discusses the case of financial networks obtained starting from volatility time series. Section 6 is about the comparison of topological properties of real data with the ones of simple and widespread models of market activity. Finally, in Section 7 we draw our conclusions.

2 A financial network obtained by a correlation-based filtering procedure

In reference [8], it has been proposed a correlation based method able to detect economic information present in a correlation coefficient matrix. This method is a filtering procedure based on the estimation of the subdominant ultrametric [25] associated with a metric distance obtained form the correlation coefficient matrix of set of n stocks. This procedure, already used in other fields, allows to obtain a metric distance and to extract from it a minimum spanning tree (MST) and a hierarchical tree from a correlation coefficient matrix by means of a well defined algorithm known as nearest neighbor single linkage clustering algorithm [26]. This allows to reveal geometrical (throughout the MST) and taxonomic (throughout the hierarchical tree) aspects of the correlation present among stocks.

The network is obtained by filtering the relevant information present in the correlation coefficient matrix of the original time series of stock returns. This is done (i) by determining the synchronous correlation coefficient of the difference of logarithm of stock price computed at a selected time horizon, (ii) by calculating a metric distance between all the pair of stocks and (iii) by selecting the subdominant ultrametric distance associated to the considered metric distance. The subdominant ultrametric is the ultrametric structure closest to the original metric structure [25].

The correlation coefficient is defined as

$$
\rho_{ij}(\Delta t) \equiv \frac{\langle r_i r_j \rangle - \langle r_i \rangle \langle r_j \rangle}{\sqrt{(\langle r_i^2 \rangle - \langle r_i \rangle^2)(\langle r_j^2 \rangle - \langle r_j \rangle^2)}}
$$
(1)

where i and j are numerical labels of the stocks, $r_i =$ ln $P_i(t)$ −ln $P_i(t-*\Delta*t)$, $P_i(t)$ is the value of the stock price i at the trading time t and Δt is the time horizon which is, in the present section, one trading day. The correlation coefficient for logarithm price differences (which almost coincides with stock returns) is computed between all the possible pairs of stocks present in the considered portfolio. The empirical statistical average, indicated in this paper with the symbol $\langle . \rangle$, is here a temporal average always performed over the investigated time period.

By definition, $\rho_{ij}(\Delta t)$ can vary from -1 (completely anti-correlated pair of stocks) to 1 (completely correlated pair of stocks). When $\rho_{ij}(\Delta t) = 0$ the two stocks are uncorrelated. The matrix of correlation coefficient is a symmetric matrix with $\rho_{ii}(\Delta t) = 1$ in the main diagonal. Hence for each value of Δt , $n(n-1)/2$ correlation coefficients characterize each correlation coefficient matrix completely.

A metric distance between pair of stocks can be rigorously determined [27] by defining

$$
d_{i,j}(\Delta t) = \sqrt{2(1 - \rho_{ij}(\Delta t))}.
$$
 (2)

With this choice $d_{i,j}(\Delta t)$ fulfills the three axioms of a metric – (i) $d_{i,j}(\overrightarrow{\Delta t}) = 0$ if and only if $i = j$; (ii) $d_{i,j}(\Delta t) = d_{j,i}(\Delta t)$ and (iii) $d_{i,j}(\Delta t) \leq d_{i,k}(\Delta t) + d_{k,j}(\Delta t)$. The distance matrix $\mathbf{D}(\Delta t)$ is then used to determine the MST connecting the n stocks.

The MST, a theoretical concept of graph theory [28], is the spanning tree of shortest length. A spanning tree is a graph without loops connecting all the n nodes with $n-1$ links. We have seen that the original fully connected graph is metric with distance $d_{i,j}$ which is decreasing with ρ_{ij} . Therefore the MST selects the $n-1$ stronger (i.e. shorter) links which span all the nodes. The MST allows to obtain, in a direct and essentially unique way, the subdominant ultrametric distance matrix $\mathbf{D}^{\leq}(\Delta t)$ and the hierarchical organization of the elements (stocks in our case) of the investigated data set.

The subdominant ultrametric distance between objects *i* and *j*, i.e. the element $d_{i,j}^{\lt}$ of the **D**[<](Δt) matrix, is the maximum value of the metric distance $d_{k,l}$ detected by moving in single steps from i to j through the path connecting i and j in the MST. The method of constructing a MST linking a set of n objects is direct and it is known in multivariate analysis as the nearest neighbor single linkage cluster analysis [26]. A pedagogical exposition of the determination of the MST in the contest of financial time series is provided in reference [29]. Subdominant ultrametric space [25] has been fruitfully used in the description of frustrated complex systems. The archetype of this kind of systems is a spin glass [30].

As an example of the results obtained with this method here we briefly discuss the results obtained in reference [21], by investigating a set of 100 highly capitalized stocks traded in the major US equity markets during the period January 1995 – December 1998. At that time, most of them were used to compute the Standard and Poor's 100 index. The prices are transaction prices stored in the *Trade and Quote* database of the New York Stock Exchange.

The time horizons investigated in the cited study varies from $\Delta t = d = 6$ h and 30 min (a trading day time interval), to $\Delta t = d/20 = 19$ min and 30 sec.

In Figure 1 we show the minimal spanning tree obtained in this investigation with a time horizon equal to one trading day. Stocks are identified with their tick symbols. Information about the company indicated by each tick symbol can be easily find in several financial web pages such as, for example, http://www.quicken.com. Cluster of stocks which are homogeneous with respect to the economic sectors of firms are clearly observed. Prominent examples of clusters are the ones of (i) oil companies which is, to be precise, a cluster composed by two separated sub-clusters, one including the companies SLB, HAL, BHI, CGP and WMB (companies which are providing financial services to the oil industry and companies of the gas industry) and the other one including MOB, CHV, XON, ARC, OXY (companies of the oil industry); (ii) financial (JPM, BAC, MER, USB, ONE, WFC, APX, etc) and consumer/non-cyclical companies (KO, GE, PG, CL, AVP, JNJ, etc); (iii) technology companies (MSFT, INTC, TXN, CSCO, NSM, IBM, HWP, ORCL); (iv) basic materials companies (AA, WY, CHA, IP, BCC), and (v) utility companies (BEL, AIT, GTE, SO, AEP, UCM, ETR).

Equity time series are then carrying economic information which can be detected by using specialized filtering procedures. Therefore, price time series in a financial market reflect information about the economic sector of activity of the company. This information is usually dressed by the noise due to statistical fluctuations. Filtering procedures, like the one we are proposing, are able to undress the signals from the noise and reveal the more relevant information.

3 Minimal spanning trees of stock portfolios at different time horizons

In this section we discuss how the correlation structure of a portfolio of stocks changes when the time horizon used to compute the correlation coefficient is progressively decreased to an intraday time scale. It is known since 1979 that the degree of cross-correlation diminishes by diminishing the time horizon used to compute stock returns [31]. This phenomenon is sometime addressed as "Epps effect". The existence of this phenomenon motivates us to investigate the nature and the properties of the network associated to a given financial portfolio as a function of the time horizon used to record stock return time series.

In reference [21], some of us used the high-frequency data of the transactions occurring in the US equity markets which are recorded in the *Trade and Quote* database of the New York Stock Exchange. By using this database we are able to investigate comovements of a set of highly capitalized stocks for daily and intra daily time horizons.

A clear modification of the hierarchical organization of the set of stocks investigated is detected when one changes the time horizon used to determine stock returns. The structure of the considered set of 100 US stocks changes its nature moving from a complex organization to a progressively elementary one when the time horizon of price changes varies from $d = 23400$ s to $d/20$, where d is the daily time horizon at the New York Stock Exchange. The amount of information processed consists of about 100 millions of transactions. The time horizons investigated are $\Delta t = d = 6$ h and 30 min (a trading day time interval), $\Delta t = d/2 = 3$ h and 15 min, $\Delta t = d/5 = 1$ h and 18 min, $\Delta t = d/10 = 39$ min and $\Delta t = d/20 = 19$ min and 30 sec. The shortest time horizon was chosen in order

to statistically ensure that for each stock at least 1 transaction occurs during the time horizon Δt . The daily mean number of transactions for the 100 selected stocks is ranging from 11944.3 transactions of Intel Corp. (INTC) to the 121.48 transactions of Mallinckrodt Inc. New (MKG).

The 'Epps effect' predicts that the intra-sector pair correlation decreases by decreasing the time horizon Δt . In reference [21], authors show that the mean correlation coefficient $\langle \rho \rangle$ obtained by averaging over the $n(n-1)/2$ off-diagonal elements of the correlation coefficient matrix is decreasing when Δt decreases. The most prominent correlation weakening is observed for the most correlated pair of stocks (the ones having a correlation coefficient closes to the maximum value ρ_{max}). In fact, ρ_{max} decreases from 0.76 to 0.52 when Δt changes from 6 h and 30 min to 19 min and 30 s.

The decrease of the correlation between pairs of the correlation based network of stocks affects the nature of the hierarchical organization of stocks. The clusters observed in Figure 1 progressively disappear and the arrangement of the minimum spanning tree moves from a structured and clustered graph to a simpler star-like graph. Figure 2 shows the MSTs observed at different time horizons ranging from $d/20$ to $d/2$. The change of structure of the MST is indeed dramatic if one considers the role of some highly connected stocks such as, in the present case, GE. This stock has a degree, i.e. a coordination number, equals to 20 when $\Delta t = d/2 = 3$ h and 15 min whereas this number grows up to 61 when the time horizon is decreased to $\Delta t = d/20 = 19$ min and 30 s.

It is worth pointing out that the change in the structure of the MST and hierarchical tree is not just a simple consequence of the 'Epps effect'. In fact, the changes observed in the structure of the MST suggests that the intrasector correlation decreases faster than intersector correlation between pairs of stocks of the considered portfolio in a intra-day time scale [21]. These results show that the topology of a correlation based network can be affected by the sampling time used to monitor the time evolution of the system. In other words, the system presents a non trivial fast dynamics of stock returns realizing the complex process of the price formation occurring in a financial market.

4 The network of global financial market

A correlation based network can also be obtained by investigating index returns of stock exchanges located around the world [23]. It is worth pointing out that the study of the dynamics of stock exchange indices located all over the world presents additional difficulties with respect to the dynamics of a portfolio of stocks traded in a single stock market. To cite just two of the most prominent ones – (i) stock markets located all over the world have different opening and closing hours; and (ii) transactions in different markets are done by using different currencies that fluctuates themselves the one with respect to the other. It is then important to quantify the degree of similarity between the dynamics of stock indices of nonsynchronous markets trading in different currencies.

Fig. 2. Minimum spanning tree of 100 highly capitalized stocks traded in the US equity markets. The time scale ∆t used to compute the correlation coefficients between stocks is smaller than one trading day. Specifically we show the MST obtained with $\Delta t = d/20$ (top left), $\Delta t = d/10$ (top right), $\Delta t = d/5$ (bottom left), and $\Delta t = d/2$ (bottom right), where d is one trading day.

Reference [23] investigates two sets of data – (i) the nonsynchronous time evolution of $n = 24$ daily stock market indices computed in local currencies during the time period from January 1988 to December 1996, and (ii) the closure value of the 51 Morgan Stanley Capital International (MSCI) country indices daily computed in local currencies or in US dollars in the time period from January 1996 to December 1999. The stock indices used in this research belong to stock markets distributed all over the world in five continents. Here we briefly discuss the results obtained with the set of Morgan Stanley Capital International (MSCI) daily indices computed in local currencies.

An analysis of daily data of closure values recorded around the world may induce spurious correlations introduced just by the different closure times of different markets. The effects of nonsynchronous trading in time series analysis are well documented in the economic literature [32–34]. In fact, different degrees of correlation between the New York and Tokyo markets are estimated depending if one consider the closure – closure between the two markets or the closure – opening. In particular, it has been empirically detected that the highest degree of correlation between these two markets is observed between the open-closure return of the New York stock exchange at day t and the opening-closure of the Tokyo stock market at day $t + 1$ [33].

Reference [23] overcomes this intrinsic limitations by considering a week time horizon so that the nonsynchronous hourly mismatch of index data is minimized. The correlation coefficient is computed between all the possible pairs of indices available in the database. As usual, the statistical average is a temporal average performed on all the trading weeks of the investigated time period. Authors obtain the $n \times n$ matrix of correlation coefficient for weekly logarithm index differences. The 51 indices investigated in reference [23] belong to 51 different countries. They comprise the so-called emerged and emerging markets. The indices and their symbols can be found at the web site http://www.mscidata.com. The data are daily data and covers the period 1996-1999. In Figure 3 we show the result of the analysis performed in reference [23].

The graph of Figure 3 shows a clear regional clustering. In fact, one can easily note an European cluster linked to the North American stock exchanges. These last stock exchanges are linked to Australian and New Zealand stock exchanges. The clusters of South-American and Asian (with the exception of Japan) stock exchanges are also clearly recognizable. Once again, the correlation based network shows clusters organized with respect to an ordering principle, which is in this case the regional location of stock exchanges. However, the topological properties of the graph are pretty different from the ones observed for stock returns of a portfolio traded in a financial market. In fact, the graph is characterized by a low number of the average degree of elements. Moreover, differently from the case of the portfolio of stocks, the elements characterized by a relatively high coordination number do not coincides with the most capitalized stock exchanges.

Fig. 3. MST of 51 stock exchanges obtained by performing a correlation based clustering starting from MSCI index returns computed in local currencies and by using a time horizon of one week.

In summary, reference [23] has shown that sets of stock index time series located all over the world can provide a correlation based network that is showing a regional clustering but it is characterized by topological properties pretty different than the one observed in a portfolio of stocks traded in the same financial market.

5 Networks of volatility time series

Another investigation has been devoted to detect the network of relation which is present among volatility time series of stock prices traded in a financial market. Volatility is a key financial quantity controlling the risk profile of a given financial asset traded in a market [12].

In reference [24] some of us investigate the statistical properties of cross-correlation of volatility time series for the 93 most capitalized stocks traded in US equity markets during a 12 year time period. Data cover the whole period ranging from January 1987 to April 1999 (3116 trading days). In the cited study daily data are considered. In particular, authors use for the analysis the open, close, high and low price recorded for each trading day for each considered stock. Starting from the daily price data, volatility $\sigma_i(t)$ is computed by using the proxy $\sigma_i(t)$ = 2 $[\max\{P_i(t)\} - \min\{P_i(t)\}]/[\max\{P_i(t)\} + \min\{P_i(t)\}]$ where $\max\{P_i(t)\}\$ and $\min\{P_i(t)\}\$ are respectively the highest and lowest price of the stock i at day t . It should be noted that there is an essential difference between price return and volatility probability density functions. In fact, the probability density function of price return is an approximately symmetrical function whereas the volatility probability density function is significantly skewed. Bivariate variables whose marginals are very different from Gaussian functions can have linear correlation coefficients which are bounded in a subinterval of $[-1, 1]$ [35]. Since the empirical probability density function of volatility is very different from a Gaussian, the use of a robust nonparametric correlation coefficient is more appropriate for quantifying volatility cross-correlation. In fact, the volatility MSTs obtained starting from a Spearman rank-order

Fig. 4. Minimum spanning tree obtained by considering the volatility time series of 93 mostly capitalized stocks traded in the US equity markets in August 1998. Each stock is identified by its tick symbol. The correspondence with the company name can be found in any web site of financial information. The volatility correlation among stocks has been evaluated by using the Spearman rank-order correlation coefficient. The MST has been drawn by using the Pajek package for large network analysis http://vlado.fmf.uni-lj.si/pub/networks/pajek/.

correlation coefficient are more stable than the ones obtained starting from the linear (or Pearson's) correlation coefficient [24]. An example of the MST obtained starting from the volatility time series and by using the Spearman rank-order correlation coefficient is shown in Figure 4. A direct inspection of the MST shows the existence of well characterized clusters. Examples are the cluster of technology companies (HON, HWP, IBM, INTC, MSFT, NSM, ORCL, SUNW, TXN and UIS) and the cluster of energy companies (ARC, CHV, CPB, HAL, MOB, SLB, XON). As already observed in the MST obtained from the price return time series, the volatility MST of Figure 4 shows the existence of highly connected stocks. Examples are GE, JPM, and DD. The topology of the network is not too different from the topology of the network obtained from return time series sampled at the same time horizon (Fig. 1). Investigations on large sets of stocks would be needed to estimate if a quantifiable topological difference exists between return and volatility correlation based networks.

6 Topology of networks in financial markets

In the previous sections, we have discussed the shape and topology of several networks obtained by using a correlation based clustering procedure. In all cases, networks are carrying a clear economic meaning. However a difference in the topological properties is sometime observed when the set of data is ranging from stock portfolios to a set of stock indices or to the volatility time series of a stock

Fig. 5. MST of real data from daily stock returns of 1071 stocks for the 12-year period 1987–1998. The node symbol is based on the Standard Industrial Classification system. For the correspondence see the text.

portfolio. The topological properties are also sensitive to the sampling time of the time series used to compute the correlation coefficient matrix. It is therefore worth to investigate more deeply the relation between the topological property of correlation based networks and some simple but widespread market models.

In reference [22] some of us compare the topological properties of the MST of empirical data recorded at the New York Stock Exchange with MSTs obtained from simple models of the portfolio dynamics. Specifically, authors consider a model of uncorrelated Gaussian return time series and the widespread one-factor model. This last model is the starting point of the Capital Asset Pricing Model [12]. The topological characterization of the correlation based MST of real data was originally investigated in reference [9]. In their study, authors investigated a portfolio of approximately 6000 stocks by estimating the correlation coefficient on a yearly time period by using approximately 250 daily data. Here we discuss the results obtained in the study of reference [22], where authors use a smaller number of stocks n and a larger number of daily records T . This choice is motivated by the request that the correlation matrix be positive definite. In fact, when the number of variables is larger than the number of time records the covariance matrix is only positive semidefinite [26].

The data set used in reference [22] consists of daily closure prices for 1071 stocks traded at the NYSE and continuously present in the 12-year period 1987–1998 (3030 trading days). The ratio $T/N \approx 2.83$ is significantly larger than one and the correlation matrix is positive definite. Figure 5 shows the MST of the real data. The symbol code is chosen by using the main industry sector of each firm according to the Standard Industrial Classification system for the main industry sector of each firm. Again regions corresponding to different sectors are clearly seen on a very large scale. Examples are clusters of companies

Fig. 6. MST obtained by a realization of a random model of 1071 Gaussian uncorrelated time series of length 3030.

belonging to the financial sector (white diamonds), to the transportation, communications, electric gas and sanitary services sector (black squares) and to the mining sector (white circles). The mining sector companies are observed to belong to two subsectors one containing oil companies (located on the right side of the figure) and one containing gold companies (left side of the figure).

The empirical MST of real data can be compared with the results obtained from simple models of the simultaneous dynamics of a portfolio of assets. The simplest model assumes that the return time series are uncorrelated Gaussian time series, i.e. $r_i(t) = \epsilon_i(t)$, where $\epsilon_i(t)$ are Gaussian random variables with zero mean and unit variance. This type of model has been considered in references [14,15] as a null hypothesis in the study of the spectral properties of the correlation matrix. It is well known both in the financial and in the econophysics literature that a random model does not explain the empirical observation of financial time series. This conclusion is consistent with the observation that topological properties of MSTs of random market models are pretty different from the ones obtained from real data. In the MST obtained with the random model few nodes have a degree larger than few units. In Figure 6 we show one of this MST obtained for an artificial market described by a random model. In Figure 6 it is clear that the MST is composed by long files of nodes. These files join at nodes of connectivity equal to few units (the typical maximal value observed is close to 7). In other words, a market based on a random model has a network characterized by a topology essentially different from the one observed in real data.

A better modeling of the dynamics of a portfolio is obtained by using the one-factor model. The one-factor model assumes that the return of assets is controlled by a single factor (or index). Specifically for any asset i we have

$$
r_i(t) = \alpha_i + \beta_i r_M(t) + \epsilon_i(t), \qquad (3)
$$

Fig. 7. Empirical probability density function of the correlation coefficients of a portfolio of 1071 stocks traded at NYSE in the 12-year period 1987–1998 (continuous line). The dashed line is the corresponding probability density function of a realization of the one-factor model with parameters fitted from real data.

where $r_i(t)$ and $r_M(t)$ are the return of the asset i and of the market factor at day t respectively, α_i and β_i are two real parameters and $\epsilon_i(t)$ is a zero mean Gaussian noise term characterized by a variance equal to $\sigma_{\epsilon_i}^2$. The parameters of the model can be obtained from the real data by ordinary least square method. Our choice for the market factor is the Standard and Poor's 500 index. The onefactor model is able to reproduce quite well the distribution of correlation coefficient of the real data. In Figure 7 we show the probability density function of correlation coefficient for real data and for the one-factor model. It is worth noting that the one-factor model is able to explain more that 80% of the correlation coefficients observed in real data. Therefore one could naively expect that also the correlation based MST of the one-factor model is quite similar to the correlation based MST of the real data.

On the contrary the MST obtained with the one-factor model is very different from the one obtained from real data. In Figure 8 we show the MST obtained in a typical realization of the one-factor model performed with the control parameters obtained as described above. It is evident that the structure of sectors of Figure 5 is not present in Figure 8. In fact the MST of the one-factor model has a star-like structure with a central node. The largest fraction of node links directly to the central node and a smaller fraction is composed by the next-nearest neighbors. Very few nodes are found at a distance of three links from the central node. The central node corresponds to General Electric and the second most connected node is Coca Cola. It is worth noting that these two stocks are the two most highly connected nodes in the real MST also. The reason of the difference between the real and the one-factor model MST (despite the similarity in the distribution of the correlation coefficients) is attributable to the noise dressing. A great fraction of the correlation coefficients is heavily dressed by noise due to the

Fig. 8. MST of a numerical simulation of the one-factor model. The symbol code is the same as used in Figure 6.

finiteness of the time series. The effect of dressing is similar in real and in surrogate time series because the length of the time series has been chosen equal. On the other hand the method used to obtain the MST filters part of the relevant information of the correlation matrix, discarding the information more heavily dressed by the noise. The MST procedure therefore undress the correlation matrix, revealing the great differences between real and model data. We want to stress that the difference in the topology between MSTs can be made more quantitative. In reference [22] some of us conducted numerical simulations to show that some topological quantities (the degree and the in-degree distribution) of real and one-factor MST are different with 95% statistical confidence.

In summary, the investigation of the topological properties of correlation based networks is able to discriminate between real data and artificial data obtained with simple but widespread market models.

7 Conclusions

Correlation based networks can be obtained in financial markets by investigating a certain number of different financial time series. Here we have reviewed results obtained by us in different studies. Specifically, the discussed studies have been concerning returns of stocks traded in a financial market at fixed or variable time horizon, volatility time series and index returns of stock exchanges located all over the world. The networks are obtained with a well-defined filtering procedure [8], which mainly focuses on the most relevant correlations among stocks. Different filtering procedures have been proposed by different authors [17–20] and provide different aspects of the information stored in the investigated sets. The robustness

over time of the MST characteristics has been investigated in a series of studies [36–39,24]. The filtering approach based on the MST can also be used to consider aspects of portfolio optimization [40] and to perform a correlation based classification of relevant economic entities such as banks [41] and hedge funds [42].

The topology of the correlation based networks depends on the investigated set and on the details of investigation (an example is the dependence observed for the time horizon used to compute the stock returns in the investigation discussed in Sect. 3). The observed topology ranges from the star-like one of the top-left panel of Figure 2 to the complex multi-cluster structure of Figure 1. Other networks have a relatively poor number of elements characterized by a high value of their degree. This last topology may be consistent with the topology observed in a correlation based network of a random financial market. On the other hand, the star-like topology is consistent with a dynamical model defined as a one-factor model.

In summary, the study of correlation based financial networks is a fruitful method able to filter out economic information from the correlation coefficient matrix of a set of financial time series. The topology of the detected network can be used to validate or falsify simple, although widespread, market models.

GB, GC, FL, SM and RNM wish to thank partial funding support from research projects MIUR 449/97 "Dinamica di altissima frequenza nei mercati finanziari", MIUR-FIRB RBNE01CW3M and COSIN IST-2001-33555.

References

- 1. D.J. Watts, S.H. Strogatz, Nature **393**, 440 (1998)
- 2. A.L. Barab´asi, R. Albert, Science **286**, 509 (1999)
- 3. P. Erd¨os, A. R´enyi, Bull. Inst. Int. Stat. **38**, 343 (1961)
- 4. R. Albert, H. Jeong, A.L. Barab´asi Nature **401**, 130 (1999)
- 5. G. Caldarelli, R. Marchetti, L. Pietronero, Europhys. Lett. **52**, 386 (2000)
- 6. R. Pastor-Satorras, A. Vazquez, A. Vespignani, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 258701 (2001)
- 7. M.E.J. Newman, D.J. Watts, S.H. Strogatz, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **99**, 2566 (2002)
- 8. R.N. Mantegna, Eur. Phys. J. B **11**, 193 (1999)
- 9. N. Vandewalle, F. Brisbois, X. Tordoir, Quantitative Finance **1**, 372 (2001)
- 10. H. Markowitz, Portfolio Selection: Efficient Diversification of Investment (J. Wiley, New York, 1959)
- 11. E.J. Elton, M.J. Gruber, Modern Portfolio Theory and Investment Analysis (J. Wiley and Sons, New York, 1995)
- 12. J.Y. Campbell, A.W. Lo, A.C. MacKinlay, The Econometrics of Financial Markets (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1997)
- 13. E.J. Elton, M.J. Gruber, J. Business **44**, 432 (1971)
- 14. L. Laloux, P. Cizeau, J.-P. Bouchaud, M. Potters, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 1468 (1999)
- 15. V. Plerou, P. Gopikrishnan, B. Rosenow, L.A.N. Amaral, H.E. Stanley, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 1471 (1999)
- 16. D.B. Panton, V. Parker Lessig, O.M. Joy, J. Financial and Quantitative Analysis **11**, 415 (1976)
- 17. L. Kullmann, J. Kertesz, R.N. Mantegna, Physica A **287**, 412 (2000)
- 18. M. Bernaschi, L. Grilli, L. Marangio, S. Succi, D. Vergni, cond-mat/0003025
- 19. L. Giada, M. Marsili, Phys. Rev. E **63**, 061101 (2001)
- 20. M. Marsili, Quantitative Finance **2**, 297 (2002)
- 21. G. Bonanno, F. Lillo, R.N. Mantegna, Quantitative Finance **1**, 96 (2001)
- 22. G. Bonanno, G. Caldarelli, F. Lillo, R.N. Mantegna, Phys. Rev. E **68**, 046130 (2003)
- 23. G. Bonanno, N Vandewalle, R.N. Mantegna, Phys. Rev. E **62**, R7615 (2000)
- 24. S. Miccich`e, G. Bonanno, F. Lillo, R.N. Mantegna, Physica ^A **324**, 66 (2003)
- 25. R. Rammal, G. Toulouse, M.A. Virasoro, Rev. Mod. Phys. **58**, 765 (1986)
- 26. K.V. Mardia, J.T. Kent, J.M. Bibby, Multivariate Analysis (Academic Press, San Diego, CA, 1979)
- 27. J.C. Gower, Biometrika **53**, 325 (1966)
- 28. D.B. West, Introduction to Graph Theory (Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs NJ, 1996)
- 29. R.N. Mantegna, H.E. Stanley, An Introduction to Econophysics: Correlations and Complexity in Finance (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge UK, 2000)
- 30. M. Mézard, G. Parisi, M.A. Virasoro, Spin Glass Theory and Beyond (World Scientific, Singapore, 1987)
- 31. T.W. Epps, J. Am. Statistical Association **74**, 291 (1979)
- 32. A.W. Lo, A.C. MacKinlay, J. Econometrics **45**, 181 (1990)
- 33. K.G. Becker, J.E. Finnerty, M. Gupta, J. Finance **XLV**, 1297 (1990)
- 34. W.-L. Lin, R.F. Engle, T. Ito, Rev. Financial Studies **7**, 507 (1994)
- 35. P. Embrechts, A. McNeil, D. Straumann, in Risk Management: Value at Risk and Beyond, edited by M.A.H. Dempster (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002), pp. 176–223
- 36. L. Kullmann, J. Kertesz, K. Kaski, Phys. Rev. E **66**, 026125 (2002)
- 37. J.-P. Onnela, A. Chakraborti, K. Kaski, J. Kertesz, Eur. Phys. J. B **30**, 285 (2002)
- 38. J.-P. Onnela, A. Chakraborti, K. Kaski, J. Kertesz, Physica A **324**, 247 (2003)
- 39. J.-P. Onnela, A. Chakraborti, K. Kaski, J. Kertesz, A. Kanto, Physica Scripta T **106**, 48 (2003)
- 40. J.-P. Onnela, A. Chakraborti, K. Kaski, J. Kertesz, A. Kanto, Phys. Rev. E **68**, 056110 (2003)
- 41. I. Marsh, private communication
- 42. M.A. Miceli, G. Susinno, Risk **16**, (11) S11 (2003)